The Syntax of Greek and Latin

Classics Faculty, University of Cambridge

Jo Willmott, Lent 2013

The Syntax of Greek and Latin 1: Key Concepts and Terms

1 Overview of course

Lecture 1: Key concepts and terms

Lecture 2: Predication and syntactic structure

Lecture 3: Government, concord and subjects

Lecture 4: Displaced and discontinuous constituents

2 What is syntax?

- Abbott and Mansfield: syntax differentiated from accidence and in two parts
- 2 areas: Elements making up grammatical units + relationship to a larger unit
- · Reveals meaning: dog bites man vs man bites dog
- Important to language: Is the boy who is unhappy watching Mickey Mouse?
- σύνταξις = 'putting *or* arranging together', calqued into Latin as *con-structio*
- Syntax = "ways in which words . . . are arranged to show connections of meaning within the sentence." (Matthews, *Syntax* p.1)
- (Parts of) sentences analysed in terms of **constructions** (= syntactic units of any size)
- · BUT what is a sentence?
 - OED: "a series of words in connected speech or writing, forming the grammatically complete expression of a single thought."
 - cf Priscian: ordinatio dictionum congrua sententiam perfectam demonstrans
 a concordant ordering of words which expounds a complete idea
 - What is a thought, an idea?
 - Punctuation? But many languages do not use punctuation
- Easier to analyse constructions that do occur independent ones are sentences:
 - 'she asked for a book'
 - 'come in'
 - 'the horse ran away because the train was noisy'
- Within the constructions certain rules clearly operate e.g. of word order, agreement
- (Exception 1: ellipses: 'Where are you going?' 'To town')
- (Exception 2: interjections: 'Yes', 'Blimey!' 'Least said, soonest mended')
- · Sentences are the largest units over which syntactic relations hold.

The Syntax of Greek and Latin

Classics Faculty, University of Cambridge

Jo Willmott, Lent 2013

3 Why is it interesting/useful

- So much of our syntax understanding is intuitive. So we can arrange sentences
 - kicked ball the they
- · What about more complicated ones?
 - say it can give what you break a Kevin and
 - "One witness told the commissioners that she had seen sexual intercourse taking place between two parked cars in front of her house."
 - "Off-duty police officer shoots dead outraged father who confronted him after he mowed down his four-year-old daughter"
 - "Dog helps lightning strike Redruth mayor."
- · Helps to learn languages
 - wǒ zhǐdào nǐ bù shì Yìngguórén
 - I know that you are not English
 - μῆνιν ἄειδε, θεὰ, πηληιάδεω, Άχιλὴος
 - The wrath sing, goddess, of Peleus' son, Achilles
 - ἄνδρα μοι ἔννεπε, μοῦσα, πολύτροπον
 - Tell me, O Muse, of the man of many devices

4 Defining constructions

• An element with a function has a particular **constructional relationship** with other elements:

is minimum eget mortalis, qui minimum cupit

a relative clause 'relates' its content to / specifies the subject of main clause subject and object are both in specific constructional relationships with the verb.

5 The problem with dead languages

- Syntacticians must formulate rules as efficiently as possible in conformity with the **grammaticality judgements** of native speakers
 - They believe the Master to be a genuis
 - The Master is believed to be a genius
 - **They say the Master to be a genius
- The Master is said to be a genius
- · We may not have complete picture for Greek and Latin

The Syntax of Greek and Latin

Classics Faculty, University of Cambridge

Jo Willmott, Lent 2013

6 The boundaries of syntax: morphology

- Morphology = form of words, syntax = connection between them
- But no distinction in speech: what's the justification? Problems:
 - 'they are trying hard'

7 The boundaries of syntax: semantics

· Syntax explains the grammaticality of constructions

- Tom gives Peter a book - *Tom sits Luke a book

- Ben sounds well - *They took fresh

- Dan sounds a fool - *Dan goes a fool

- But syntax cannot explain all ungrammatical sentences:
 - They sautéed the potatoes
 - ?They sautéed the rice

8 Semantic structure: Predicate-Argument

a) it's snowing ningit SNOW
b) Marcus fell Marcus cecidit FALL (x)

c) Paula hit/loves Marcus Paula pulsauit/amat Marcum HIT/LOVE (a)(b)

d) Marcus will give Paula Marcus a gift Paulae donum dabit GIVE (x) (y) (z)

- · Arguments are 'participants' necessarily present
- Predicates assign specific **semantic** (or **thematic**) **roles** to each argument:

AGENT (Marcus will give Paula a gift)

PATIENT (Marcus will give Paula a gift)

• BENEFICIARY (Marcus will give Paula a gift)

• EXPERIENCER (Paula is grateful)

• INSTRUMENT (Marcus wins Paula over with the gift)

NB Semantic structure does not always match syntactic structure

Mismatch 1: Passives su

subject object

Paula Marcum pulsauit HIT (Paula) (Marcus)

agent patien

bject object

Marcus a Paula pulsatus est HIT (Paula) (Marcus)

'subject of' is a purely syntactic relation,
patient agent independent of semantic/thematic roles

The Syntax of Greek and Latin

Classics Faculty, University of Cambridge

Jo Willmott, Lent 2013

- · Mismatch 2: Missing arguments
 - e) Caesar conatus est pontes reficere

 Caesar tried to rebuild the bridge

 TRY (Caesar) (REBUILD (Caesar) (bridge)
- Mismatch 3: Other forms of ellipsis
- f) Paula Marcum et Iulia Gaium amat
 (LOVE (Paula) (Marcus)) & (LOVE (Julia) (Gaius))

9 Semantic vs syntactic structure

- · Syntax has certain principles of its own, despite being tied to semantics in many ways.
- Each argument and each predicate will normally have its own syntactic realisation ...
- ... but the overall form of the resulting sentence may be unpredictable
- Arguments are typically realised as noun phrases (NP) or prepositional phrases (PP), predicates typically as verbs (V) ...
- ... but there may be unexpected gaps in the syntax (ellipsis) or free choice of form
- · So keep syntax and semantics distinct!
 - 'agent', 'patient', 'predicate' are semantic notions
 - · 'subject', 'object', 'verb' are syntactic notions
 - Paula Marcum pulsauit HIT (Paula) (Marcus)
 - subject denotes the agent argument of predicate-argument structure
 - · object denotes the patient argument
 - verb denotes the predicate HIT which binds the arguments together

10 Further reading

Brown and Miller 1991, Syntax (2nd edn.)

Lyons 1968, Introduction to Theoretical Linguistics (chapter 6)

Matthews 1981, Syntax (especially chapters 4, 6, 11)

Robins 1964, General linguistics (edition 4, chapter 6)

Pinker 1995, The Language Instinct

Language Log on noun pileups: http://languagelog.ldc.upenn.edu/nll/?cat=26

 $Language\ Log\ on\ crash\ blossoms: \underline{http://languagelog.ldc.upenn.edu/nll/?cat=118}$